Introduction

Oro-facial malignancies are malignant neoplasms of the oral cavity and adjacent structures excluding the facial skin cancers.[1] Approximately, 90% of these cancers are reported to be squamous cell carcinoma (SCC).[2] Oro-facial malignancies exhibit geographic variations in prevalence and pattern due to cultural, social, occupational, or climatic factors.[3,4]

The prognosis of oro-facial malignancies is relatively poor; thus they are a public health concern in different parts of the world.[5-8] Treatment of patients presenting late presents further challenges, often resulting in disfigurement and/or impairment of basic functions such as talking, swallowing, eating, and breathing.[9] The treatment and outcome of these lesions are usually determined by the extent of spread/stage of the disease at presentation.[10,11]

The aim of this study was to analyze the types of oro-facial malignancies, patients’ exposure to risks factors, site and size of lesions on presentation, and treatment administered during their stay in the hospital.

Subjects and Methods

Consecutive patients that presented in the oral and maxillofacial outpatient clinic of the Lagos University Teaching Hospital with oro-facial malignancies during 15 months period...
extending from October 2012 to December 2013 and agreed to be part of the study were recruited into this survey. After informed consent was obtained, one of the researchers (ECI) recorded demographics and clinical variables from each patient. Treatment provided for each patient in the hospital was also recorded. Data were presented as ranges, percentages, median, means, and standard deviation (to represent central tendencies) and tables as appropriate.

Results

There were 36 cases of oro-facial malignancies seen in the period. Ages ranged between 10 and 72 years (median of 49 years), with 21 males and 15 females (male:female; 1.4:1). Nineteen (52.8%; 19/36) subjects smoked tobacco, 12 of these took both alcohol and smoked tobacco. The length of time before presenting to the hospital ranged from between 2 and 30 months (mean 9.9 ± 5.5 months). The largest diameter of the lesions at presentation ranged from 2 cm to 12 cm (mean 6.4 ± 3.0 cm). The most common sites of presentation were posterior tongue with 6 patients presenting (16.7%; 6/36); others included the palate (13.9%; 5/36), the floor of the mouth and the mandibular alveolus which both had 4 (11.1%; 4/39) patients [Table 1].

The most common histopathological diagnosis was SCC (41.7%; 15/36), others included mucoepidermoid carcinoma (19.4%; 7/36), and adenocystic carcinoma which was the diagnosis in (6/36; 16.7%) patients. All lesions that presented on the tongue (16.7%; 6/36) and the mandibular alveolus (11.1%; 4/39;) were SCC, whereas none of the lesions that presented on the palate, parotid and submandibular gland were with SCC [Table 1]. Twenty-five patients had clinically palpable nodes at presentation, with 14 (14/36; 38.9%) of the patients presenting with unilateral lymph nodes and 11 (11/36; 30.6%) with bilateral nodes. Eight lesions involved multiple nodal levels whereas 17 were confined to a single nodal level.

The most common levels were level 1 (13 cases; 36.1%), level 2 (11 cases; 30.6%) and level 3 (7 cases; 19.45). The largest neck node in 11 patients was < 3 cm; they were between 3 cm and 6 cm in 8 patients and > 6 cm in 5 patients. Only 4 (11.1%; 4/36) patients presented with distant metastasis, 3 patients had metastasis in the lungs whereas the 4th patient had metastasis both in the lungs and the liver. All patients with distant metastasis had lesions ≥ 8 cm in their largest diameter. Overall based on the tumor, node, and metastases (TNM) staging of tumors, 2 (5.5%) were classified as stage 2, 5 (13.9%) stage 3, 14 (38.9%) stage 4a, 11 (30.6%) stage 4b and 4 (11.1%) stage 4c tumors, respectively.

Twenty-three patients (63.9%) had primary surgeries done, others did not have surgery either due to the loss of patient to follow-up (5/36; 13.9%) or due to the lesions being classified as inoperable [Figures 1 and 2] and were sent for radiotherapy (8/36; 22.2%) alone. Only two patients who had primary surgery had intraoperative assessment for negative tumor margins with frozen section. Five (5/36; 13.9%) patients had neck dissections in conjunction with the removal of primary lesions in this series. Primary closure for soft tissue closure was used for most of the other lesions. Microvascular tissue transfer with the radial forearm microvascular flap was used for reconstruction for 1 case while three cases had reconstruction with the pectoralis major myocutaneous flap (PMMF) [Figure 3a-c]. The single radial forearm microvascular flap attempt failed while 2 of the attempts at PMMF were successful. All patients that had surgery were referred to the oncologist for adjunct radiotherapy and chemotherapy.

Discussions

Oral SCC is reported to be the most common malignant tumor of the oro-facial region constituting between 70% and 90% of these lesions.[10] In this study, only 41.6% were SCCs. The

Table 1: Histopathological types and sites of presentation of oro-facial malignant tumors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histopathological types</th>
<th>Tongue</th>
<th>Mandibular alveolus</th>
<th>Floor of mouth</th>
<th>Retromolar area</th>
<th>Cheek</th>
<th>Palatal area</th>
<th>Maxillary antrum</th>
<th>Submandibular area</th>
<th>Parotid</th>
<th>Upper lip</th>
<th>Maxilla</th>
<th>Mandible</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocystica</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucoepidermoid carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal cell carcinoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteosarcoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhabdosarcoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosarcoma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ameloblasticca</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma expleomorphic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
median age of presentation in this study was 49 years this is comparable to a study in the same center which had a mean age of 42.2 years.\textsuperscript{[13]} It is, however, lower than most studies in the in the western world, this difference in age of presentation has also been documented in other studies in Nigerians.\textsuperscript{[3,7,8]} Tobacco, as documented in other studies, seems to also be an important risk factor in this series.\textsuperscript{[6,7,8,14]} This is, however, is at variance with earlier reports by Oji and Chukwuneke,\textsuperscript{[3]} in Enugu South Eastern Nigeria, who reported none of the 77 respondents with oral cancer in their study claiming to use neither tobacco nor alcohol.

Oro-facial cancers often present late in most studies in the western world.\textsuperscript{[7]} The same scenario is reported in studies from Nigeria populations where most patients are reported to present at TNM stage 3 or 4.\textsuperscript{[3,15]} Over 80\% of patients in this study presented at the TNM stage 4 in consonance with earlier reports from the country.\textsuperscript{[3]} The reason for this delay in presentation might be connected with a lack of awareness of the disease entities in the country and inadequate level of either clinic based or population based screening for the lesion.\textsuperscript{[7,16,17]}

Eight patients (22.2\%; 8/36) were not treated with claims of tumor being inoperable due to large size. Comparison in the sizes of tumors seen in these series with some in literature, however, showed that cases with larger sizes have been documented to have received primary surgeries.\textsuperscript{[18-20]} The reason for the difference might however not be unconnected with the fact that microvascular free tissue transfer (free flap) for reconstruction after primary surgery was more readily available in such centers compared to ours. Furthermore, confirmation of negative tumor margins by frozen section was performed in only 2 (5.5\%; 2/36) of the cases. The reason for this might not be unconnected to the cost of doing this.

Even though, microvascular free tissue transfer has had an exponential increase in the last two to three decades in the application for reconstruction of ablative defects of the head and neck;\textsuperscript{[21]} this has not been the case in Nigeria.\textsuperscript{[22]} The reason for this might not be unrelated to the cost of establishing centers with equipment for the free flap and also a lack of training fellowships for microvascular surgery as it is present in most developed countries. The only attempt at microvascular surgery in the series failed, and a salvage surgery had to be done.

**Conclusion**

Patients seen in this case series generally reported late with large lesions and treatment offered in the hospital was considered less than the optimal when compared to international standards. This might suggest that increased awareness of disease in the population and more infrastructures in the hospital are needed for optimal treatment for oro-facial malignancies in the hospital.
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