measurements were made by using the standard anthropometric techniques as proposed by Lohman *et al.*^[17] All the derived variables were computed using standard equations.^[18]

Nutritional assessment

Nutritional status of students was carried out by dietary survey following an interactive 24 h recall method to gauge a typical day's actual intake. By questionnaire subjects were asked for the volume of food, preparation of food and time of consumption. From this data cooked and raw foods were separated and different nutrients according to their calorific value and other factors were determined.^[1]

Statistical analysis

Results were expressed as mean (standard deviation). If differences between groups were established, the values of the treated groups were compared with those of the control group by a modified t-test. To carry out the analysis of the data statistically SPSS version 15.0, IBM Corporation and MS-Excel version 2013 (Microsoft Office, 2013) were used. A value of P < 0.05 was interpreted as statistically significant.^[19]

Results

The SES of the studied population showed that most of them are unmarried (88%. *i.e.*, 44/50) and the average monthly income of the families of the subjects was about 10,000-30,000 rupees which indicate they basically belong to middle income group and most of the families are nuclear family (64%). Most of the parents are educated enough to take care of their child's health [Table 1]. These data are almost similar both in boys and girls.

The direct and derived anthropometric parameters that indicate the prevalence of obesity and malnutrition of the studied population based on PBF, WC and WHR are presented in Table 2. It also represents comparative aspects of physical variables (BSA and BMI). The results though indicate lower body weight in female students than in males (P < 0.05), the fat distribution in quite higher in females. It could be observed that, PBF, FM, FMI and BAI were significantly higher in female students, which indicate increased propensity of being obese. Their BMI values were also significantly higher than male students.

The frequency of overweight and underweight students (both male and female) is presented in Table 3. The overall prevalence of obesity (BMI > 30.00) was almost absent in the studied sample, but the frequency of overweight (BMI: 25.0-29.9) was higher in females (27.88% i.e., 7/50) than in males (9.09% i.e., 2/50). However, most of the student population was found to have normal range of body weight, on the basis of BMI. It may be noted that this estimation is based on an indirect technique, i.e., anthropometry and international classification of BMI cutoff points. [12]

Based on the data of the interactive dietary survey, nutritional assessment of college students was done. It has been found that boys are deficient in vitamin C, while girl students are deficient in vitamin A, vitamin C and iron. But they consume more fat than their recommended dietary allowance (RDA)

Table 1: Socio-economic status of male and female college students

Parameters	n (%)			
	Male (<i>n</i> =50)	Female (<i>n</i> =50)		
Father's education level				
Nil	0	0		
Up to class 10	6 (12.0)	7 (14.0)		
Up to higher secondary	10 (20.0)	8 (16.0)		
Graduation or post-graduation	34 (68.0)	35 (70.0)		
Mother's education level				
Nil	0	0		
Up to class 10	8 (16.0)	7 (14.0)		
Up to higher secondary	15 (30.0)	14 (28.0)		
Graduation or post-graduation	27 (54.0)	29 (58.0)		
Monthly income (Rs.)				
<10,000	6 (12.0)	8 (16.0)		
10,000-30,000	37 (74.0)	35 (70.0)		
>30,000	7 (14.0)	7 (14.0)		
Marital status				
Married	0	6 (12.0)		
Unmarried	50 (100.0)	44 (88.0)		
Family type				
Nuclear family	36 (72.0)	32 (64.0)		
Extended family	14 (28.0)	18 (36.0)		

Table 2: Comparison of body composition anthropometrics (derived variables) of male (n=50) and female (n=50) college students

Variables	Male		Female		P value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age (years)	20.9	2.25	20.3 ^{NS}	2.34	0.10
Physical parameters					
Height (cm)	166.6	7.21	153.2*	4.23	0.03
Weight (kg)	59.3	7.50	54.9*	5.14	0.04
BSA (m ²)	1.70	0.18	1.48*	0.27	0.02
BMI (kg/m²)	21.9	2.49	23.2 ^{NS}	3.03	0.06
Adiposity measures					
PBF (%)	20.5	1.73	26.1 [†]	2.70	0.04
FM (kg)	12.1	3.88	14.3 [†]	4.18	0.03
FMI (kg/m²)	4.48	1.76	6.09^{\dagger}	1.88	0.02
FFM (kg)	47.1	4.25	40.6^{\dagger}	4.08	0.02
FFMI (kg/m²)	17.40	1.84	17.29 ^{NS}	1.68	0.08
BAI	30.01	4.44	34.08 [†]	5.46	0.04
WHR	0.92	0.02	$0.87^{\rm NS}$	0.02	0.09
WHTR	0.46	0.02	0.45^{NS}	0.01	0.54
MUAC-for-height	0.16	0.01	0.14 ^{NS}	0.01	0.48
C-index	1.16	0.09	1.07†	0.06	0.03

Values bearing superscripts (*,†) are significantly different. NS: Not significant, BSA: Body surface area, BMI: Body mass index, PBF: Percentage of body fat, FMI: Fat mass, FMI: Fat mass index, FFM: Fat free mass, FFMI: Fat free mass index, BAI: Body adiposity index, WHR: Waist-to-hip ratio, WHTR: Waist-to-height ratio, C-index: Conicity index, MUAC: Mean upper arm circumference, SD: Standard deviation, MUAC: Mid-upper arm circumference

recommends [Table 4], especially girls who consume almost double fat of their recommended value.

Discussion

BMI is considered as a better index for assessing obesity, because it does away with the need of height-weight tables and is independent of type of obesity frame and it can be used to estimate the prevalence of obesity within a population.^[20] Therefore in the present study, the body composition of the undergraduate college students was calculated according to critical limits of BMI as recommended by WHO.[20] Table 2 shows various physical parameters and obesity indicators; female students have a lower BSA because they have lower stature than male students. They also showed significantly higher PBF, FM, FMI and BAI, which are indicators of their higher fat distribution. Conversely, they showed significantly lower FFM and C-index which revealed lower abdominal fat and muscle mass distribution. Simultaneously, these results indicate higher overall fat distribution in females, though, they have lower fat distribution in their abdominal regions.

Table 3 presents the distribution of subjects according to BMI classification. Though it that most of the subjects have a normal BMI (in average 86.00% i.e., 86/100), the results indicated a higher propensity of being obese was observed in females. Among undergraduate female students, 14% (i.e., 7/50) were overweight (24.9-29.9) and also showed higher mean BMI value than the male counterparts. The results of female students also indicated an important trend, that as they get older, they gain more weight, which is reflected in age-wise

BMI distribution of students [Table 3]. In this present study, it was found that the females in the age group of 20-22 years have a higher number of overweight students than those in the 18-20 years age group. It has been observed in earlier studies that Bengalee/Hindu females of Kolkata, gain more weight and becomes obese as they become aged. [21] They rank third in the prevalence of obesity in India, after Uttar Pradesh and Jammu and Kashmir. [21] While comparing the present data with other populations of India, it is apparent that the prevalence rate of overweight/obesity seen in the present study shows the similar trend with other Indian studies which have also shown a high prevalence of overweight and obesity. Gopinath et al. [22] studied urban women of Delhi and reported the prevalence rate of obesity as 33.4%. Rao et al.[23] studied females belonging to high SES of Hyderabad and reported the prevalence rate of obesity as 36.3%. The nutrition Foundation of India has just completed a study on the prevalence of obesity in urban Delhi and has reported the prevalence rate of overweight (BMI 25+) and obesity (BMI >30) as 50% and 14% respectively.^[24]

Among male students, only 4% (i.e., 2/50) of the students were found to be overweight. Conversely, they showed a higher degree of undernutrion (8.00%) as found on the basis of BMI. But, it could be due to their young age, thus tends to be leaner than obese.

Overweight and obesity have been found to be associated with many diseases particularly heart disease, type 2 diabetes and osteoarthritis.^[25] The prevention and control of this problem must, therefore, claim priority attention. Therefore, appropriate precautionary measures have to be taken to prevent further

Table 3: Distribution of BMI and prevalence of malnutrition and obesity among undergraduate college students of Kolkata, both male (n=50) and female (n=50)

Age (in years)	Sample size	Obese (BMI>30)	Overweight (BMI 24.9-29.9)	Normal (BMI 18.5-24.9)	Underweight (BMI<18.5)
Males (<i>n</i> =50)					
18-20	22 (44.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (9.09)	18 (81.81)	2 (9.09)
20-22	28 (56.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	26 (92.85)	2 (7.14)
Females (n=50)					
18-20	24 (48.00)	0 (0.00)	3 (12.50)	20 (83.33)	1 (4.16)
20-22	26 (52.00)	0 (0.00)	4 (15.38)	22 (84.61)	0 (0.00)

Figures in parenthesis indicates percentages. BMI: Body mass index

Table 4: Nutrient intake of college students of Kolkata (18-22 years)

Nutrients#	Males students			Female students		
	Nutrients intake	Recommended intake†	Percentage of the recommended intake	Nutrients intake	Recommended intake†	Percentage of the recommended intake
Energy (Kcal)	2414.1	2875.0	83.9	1525.0	1800.1	84.7
Protein (g)	56.6	60.0	94.3	39.1	42.0	93.1
Fat (g)	24.4	20.0	122.0	39.6	20.0	198.0
Iron (mg)	21.8	28.0	77.8	9.5	28.0	34.0
Vitamin A (IU)	2415.0	3000.0	80.5	1118.8	2984.0	37.5
Thiamin (mg)	1.2	1.2	100.0	1.2	0.9	132.2
Riboflavin (mg)	1.4	1.3	107.6	0.5	1.2	43.3
Vitamin C (mg)	40.0	90.0	44.4	24.6	30.0	82.0

^{*}Mean values of per day intake is represented in each column, †NAS dietary reference intakes. IU: International unit, NAS: National Academy of Sciences

progression of the problem into the young population. Because, if the present trends of overweight/obesity continue, the situation can get as worse as to be the single most important public health problem in adults in future.

Morphometric analysis of the body is virtually the investigation of the process of life which reflects the general health status of an individual. From the public health point-of-view, anthropometry is the most valid measure for the identification of subclinical forms of malnutrition. [26] Various direct and derived anthropometric indices including BMI and body circumferences, are available those play an important role in predicting the health status of an individual. In this present study, significantly lower stature, eye height, acromial height, elbow rest height, abdominal extension and the mean upper arm, TC and WCs were found in female students (P < 0.05). Lower WC and abdominal extension reflects lower abdominal fat distribution in females, which has again reflected in WHR. Among WC and WHR, WC is considered to be a better index for fat location than WHR for predicting lipid profile in adult women.[27] However, it is a well-known fact that BMI also has a negative correlation with WHR, as reflected in our study, which is very much important in case of women, because, this interrelation is a cue to the female physical attractiveness and the beauty of women. [28-30]

On the other hand, it is well-known that MUAC is an estimate of energy storage and protein mass of the body which is an indirect estimate of strength, [31] which has found to be higher in male students. As the studied population is comprised young adults, they also showed expected higher values in MUAC and TC, which are also indicative of distribution of muscle mass.

A potential limitation of this data is that the population of undergraduate students in our study was limited and may not be generalized. Further investigations are necessary to have a generalized view of nutritional status of undergraduate students of Kolkata.

The present article also reports nutritional status of fifty age-matched young adults of Kolkata, as found in dietary survey. It has been found that young Calcuttans are lacking in energy intake, but they consume more fat than the RDA. These data support their BMI values, especially in girls among which tendency of being overweight is noticeable. They also lack sufficient protein in their diet, but, more fat consumption was observed in interactive 24 h recall method, as mentioned earlier. They also deficient in iron, vitamin A and vitamin C in diet. Vitamin C, which is beneficial for endurance and better physical performance, was also found to be inadequate in the diet of the students. Conversely, dietary intake of Vitamin B, (thiamin) and Vitamin B, (riboflavin) were found to be sufficient in boys (girls also lack riboflavin) which may be correlated to their better physical fitness level, because these vitamins play a major role in maintaining muscle strength and endurance.

Conclusion

The present study revealed that young female undergraduate students have more propensity toward being overweight than the male students. Thus, some precautionary measures must be taken to prevent the spread of this health problem among adults and have to stop of being the single most important public health problem.

References

- Sengupta P, Sahoo S. A cross sectional study to evaluate the fitness pattern among the young fishermen of coastal Orissa. Indian J Public Health Res Dev 2013;4:171-5.
- Jung SH, Jung SH. Surveying dimensions and characteristics of Korean ears for the ergonomic design of ear-related products. Int J Indus Ergon 2003;31:361-73.
- Sengupta P. Assessment of physical fitness status of young sikkimese residing in high-hill temperate regions of eastern Sikkim. Asian J Med Sci 2011;2:169-74.
- Behrman RE, Kliegman RM, Jenson HB, editors. Nelson's Textbook of Pediatrics. 16th ed. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2000. p. 32-50.
- Kopelman PG. Obesity as a medical problem. Nature 2000;404:635-43.
- Sengupta P, Chaudhuri P, Bhattacharya K. A small-scale cross-sectional study for the assessment of cardiorespiratory fitness in relation to body composition and morphometric characters in fishermen of Araku valley, Andhra Pradesh, India. Int J Prev Med 2014.
- 7. Sengupta P. Health impacts of yoga and pranayama: A state-of-the-art review. Int J Prev Med 2012;3:444-58.
- 8. Sengupta P, Chaudhuri P, Koushik K. Screening obesity pattern by direct and derived anthropometric indices along with evaluation of physical efficiency among female undergraduate college students of Kolkata. Ann Med Health Sci Res 2013;3:517-22.
- Bose K, Ghosh A, Das Chaudhuri AB. Relationship between anthropometric measures and body composition among Bengalee female university students of Kolkata. J India Anthropol Soc 2003;38:89-94.
- Keys A, Fidanza F, Karvonen MJ, Kimura N, Taylor HL. Indices of relative weight and obesity. J Chronic Dis 1972;25:329-43.
- 11. Eknoyan G. Adolphe Quetelet (1796-1874) The average man and indices of obesity. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2008;23:47-51.
- 12. WHO: Global database on body mass index. BMI classification. World Health Organization Website. Available from: http://www.apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp? introPage=intro_3. html. [Last cited on 2011 Apr 26].
- 13. Deurenberg P, Weststrate JA, Seidell JC. Body mass index as a measure of body fatness: Age- and sex-specific prediction formulas. Br J Nutr 1991;65:105-14.
- Deurenberg P, Yap M, van Staveren WA. Body mass index and percent body fat: A meta analysis among different ethnic groups. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1998;22:1164-71.
- 15. Wellens RI, Roche AF, Khamis HJ, Jackson AS, Pollock ML, Siervogel RM. Relationships between the body mass index and body composition. Obes Res 1996;4:35-44.
- Banerjee S, Sen R. Determination of the surface area of the body of Indians. J Appl Physiol 1955;7:585-8.

- 17. Lohman TG, Roche AF, Martorell R. Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual. Chicago: Human Kinetics Books; 1998.
- 18. World Health Organization. Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry. Report of the WHO Expert Committee, Technical Report Series, No. 854. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1995.
- Das D, Das A. Statistics in Biology and Psychology. 4th ed. Calcutta: Academic Publishers; 2005.
- World Health Organization. Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic. Report of the WHO Consultation on Obesity, Technical Report Series, No. 854. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1998.
- Zargar AH, Masoodi SR, Laway BA, Khan AK, Wani AI, Bashir MI, et al. Prevalence of obesity in adults – An epidemiological study from Kashmir Valley of Indian Subcontinent. J Assoc Physicians India 2000;48:1170-4.
- Gopinath N, Chadha SL, Sood AK, Shekhawat S, Bindra SP, Tandon R. Epidemiological study of hypertension in young (15-24 yr) Delhi urban population. Indian J Med Res 1994;99:32-7.
- Rao VK, Balakriskhna N, Shatrugna V. Variations if forms of malnutrition in well-to-do adults and the associated factors. Man India 1995;75:241-9.
- 24. Gopalan C. Obesity in the Indian urban middle class. NFI Bull 1998;19:19.

- 25. Haslam DW, James WP. Obesity. Lancet 2005;366:1197-209.
- Sengupta P, Sahoo S. Evaluation of health status of the fishers: Prediction of cardiovascular fitness and anaerobic power. World J Life Sci Med Res 2011;1:25-30.
- 27. Sengupta P, Sahoo S. A fitness assessment study among young fishermen of coastal areas of West Bengal, India. S East Asia J Public Health 2011;1:6-14.
- 28. Sengupta P, Chaudhuri P, Bhattacharya K. Male reproductive health and yoga. Int J Yoga 2013;6:87-95.
- Sengupta P, Krajewska-Kulak E. Is mind-body relaxation by yoga is effective to combat with lifestyle stress? Ann Med Health Sci Res 2013;3:61-2.
- NAS. Dietary Reference Intakes for Energy, Carbohydrate, Fiber, Fat, Fatty Acids, Cholesterol, Protein, and Amino Acids (Macronutrients). Washington D.C.: Food and Nutrition Board, Institute of Medicine, National Academy of Sciences; 2005.
- 31. Sengupta P, Sahoo S. An ergonomic assessment and fitness evaluation of young male tea factory workers in Dooars, West Bengal. Prog in Health Sci 2012;2:51-7.

How to cite this article: Sengupta P. Comparing the physiological, socio-economic and nutritional status among male and female undergraduate college students of metropolitan city of Kolkata. Ann Med Health Sci Res 2014:4:537-42.

Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None declared.