Enhanced Recovery Program (ERAS) and Colorectal Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Turki Saad Althobaiti1,2,  Mohanad Obaid Alkhamash2,  Abdulaziz Salem Almalki2,  Joud Amer Alkhwili3,  Yusr Abdullah Abdulaziz3,  Abdullah Alturqi Alanazi4,  Alruwaili Abdullah Qasems4,  Alanazi Talal Rashid4,  Alanazi Khaled Zaben4,  Almari Musaad Mohammed4 and  Alruwaili Talal Tuwayjir4

1Surgery Senior Registrar, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Taif, Saudi Arabia; 2General Surgery Resident, King Abdulaziz Specialist Hospital, Taif, Saudi Arabia; 3Medical Intern, Ibn Sina National College, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia; 46th Year Medical Student, Jouf University, Sakaka, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Background: Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) protocols are rapidly becoming the standard of care for patients undergoing an elective colorectal surgical operation to speed recovery and decrease the postoperative length of stay (LOS) and morbidity. Aim: This work aims to determine the efficacy and safety of the Enhanced Recovery Program (ERAS) on postoperative outcomes in colorectal surgery patients. Materials and Methods: A systematic search was performed over different medical databases to identify General Surgery studies, which studied the outcome of the ERAS group versus the Conventional group of colorectal surgery patients. Using the meta-analysis process, either with fixed or random-effects models, we conducted a meta-analysis on length of hospital stay as a primary outcome and overall complications and mortality rates as a secondary outcome. Results: Ten studies were identified involving colorectal surgery patients, 3421 patients, with 1988 patients in the ERAS group, and 1433 patients in the Conventional group. The meta-analysis process revealed a highly significant decrease in mean length of hospital stay (LOS), in the ERAS group compared to the Conventional group (p<0.001). We also found a significant decrease in complications rate in the ERAS group compared to the Conventional group (p=0.022), and a highly significant decrease in mortality rate in the ERAS group compared to the Conventional group (p=0.005). Conclusion: To conclude, ERAS program is an evidence-based multimodal perioperative protocol focused on stress reduction and the promotion of a rapid postoperative recovery. ERAS helps lowering both recovery time and postoperative complication rates while being cost-effective at the same time. Keywords: ERAS; colorectal surgery

Introduction

Following predominant surgical treatment, the first days after discharge are a prone time for patients. This period of vulnerability has previously been defined as a post-hospital syndrome. Signs, which were not present while in hospital, may arise at home and patients must be capable of determining whether or not those symptoms are a normal part of recovery or whether they indicate the development of a complication. [1]

Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) protocols are now the standard care for colorectal surgery patients to speed recovery and decrease the postoperative length of stay (LOS) and morbidity. While those protocols encompass numerous interventions including modified preoperative oral intake, avoidance of mechanical bowel preparation, early postoperative mobilization, and oral intake, and emphasis on laparoscopic surgical technique, one of the cornerstones of ERAS protocols is the usage of multimodal analgesic therapy. [2]

Studies on ERAS protocols have mainly originated from European countries and the USA (in which the term, ‘fast track surgical procedure’, is also used), and only a few had been performed in Asian countries. ERAS protocols had been introduced in Japan around 2008 and were initially introduced in our hospital to patients who underwent colorectal resection in July 2010. We previously demonstrated that ERAS protocols helped reduce the length of postoperative hospital stay without adversely affecting morbidity, indicating that ERAS protocols are feasible and effective in Japan, with its unique medical subculture and public health insurance system. [3]

Internationally, ERAS implementation has largely occurred inside a single site. There has been one large system-wide reported try to implement multiple guidelines for elective colorectal, orthopedic, gynecologic, and urologic surgical treatment, in the United Kingdom’s enhanced recovery...
Partnership program (ERPP). A recent report from ERPP suggests that stringent delivery of a regular care pathway results in extra health benefits for the patient and a cost-saving for the healthcare organization. [4]

This work aims to determine the efficacy and safety of the Enhanced Recovery Program (ERAS) on postoperative outcomes in colorectal surgery patients.

**Literature Review**

Our review came following the (PRISMA) statement guidelines. [5]

**Study eligibility**

The included studies should be in English, a journal published article, and a human study describing colorectal surgery patients. The excluded studies were non-English or animal studies or describing other types of cancer patients (e.g. pancreatic cancer).

**Study identification**

Basic searching was done over the PubMed, Cochrane library, and Google scholar using the following keywords: ERAS, colorectal surgery.

**Data extraction and synthesis**

RCTs, clinical trials, and comparative studies, which studied the outcome of ERAS group versus Conventional group of colorectal surgery patients, will be reviewed. Outcome measures included length of hospital stay as a primary outcome, and on overall complications and mortality rates as secondary outcomes.

**Study selection**

We found 180 records, 130 excluded based on title and abstract review; 50 articles are searched for eligibility by full-text review; 14 articles cannot be accessed; 13 studies were reviews and case reports; 5 were not describing functional outcome; the desired post-operative protocol not used in 8 studies leaving 10 studies that met all inclusion criteria.

**Statistical methodology**

The pooling of data, Proportions (%), Odds Ratios (ORs), Standard Mean Differences (SMDs), with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were done, using MedCalc ver. 18.11.3 (MedCalc, Belgium). According to heterogeneity across trials using the $I^2$-statistics; a fixed-effects model or random-effects model were used in the meta-analysis process.

**Results**

The included studies published between 2003 and 2019. Regarding the type of surgery, 5 studies described open colorectal surgery, and the other 5 studies described open vs. laparoscopic colorectal surgery [Table 1]. [6-15]

Regarding patients' characteristics, the total number of patients in all the included studies was 3421 patients, with 1988 patients in the ERAS group, and 1433 patients in Conventional group, while their average follow-up time was (30 days) [Table 1].

The mean age of all patients was (68.5 years), and the median number of implemented ERAS items was 12 ERAS items [Table 1]. A meta-analysis study was done on 10 studies that described and compared the 2 different groups of patients; with an overall number of patients (N=3421) [Table 2].

Each outcome was measured by:

- **Standard Mean Difference (SMD)**
  - For LOS.

- **Odds Ratio (OR)**
  - For complications rate.
  - For the mortality rate.

Concerning the primary outcome measure, we found 10 studies reported LOS with a total number of patients (N=3421). $I^2$ (inconsistency) was 54.5% with a significant Q test for heterogeneity (p=0.019), so random-effects model was carried out; with overall SMD= -0.504 (95% CI=-0.624 to -0.383). Using the random-effects model, the meta-analysis process revealed a highly significant decrease in mean length of hospital stay (LOS), in the ERAS group compared to the Conventional group (p<0.001) [Figure 1].

Concerning the secondary outcome measures, we found 10 studies reported complications rate with a total number of patients (N=3421). $I^2$ (inconsistency) was 86.8% with a highly significant Q test for heterogeneity (p<0.0001), so random-
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effects model was carried out; with overall OR = 0.53 (95% CI=0.310 to 0.914).

Using the random-effects model, the meta-analysis process revealed a significant decrease in complications rate in the ERAS group compared to the Conventional group (p=0.022) [Figure 2].

We found 8 studies reported mortality rates with a total number of patients (N=1492). I² (inconsistency) was 0.2% with a non-significant Q test for heterogeneity (p > 0.05), so fixed-effects model was carried out; with overall OR= 0.36 (95% CI=0.179 to 0.735).

Using the fixed-effects model, the meta-analysis process revealed a highly significant decrease in the mortality rate in the ERAS group compared to the Conventional group (p=0.005) [Figure 3].

**Discussion**

This work aims to determine the efficacy and safety of Enhanced Recovery Program (ERAS) and Colorectal Surgery: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Regarding patients’ characteristics, the total number of patients in all the included studies was 3421 patients, with 1988 patients in the ERAS group, and 1433 patients in the Conventional group, while their average follow-up time was (30 days).

The mean age of all patients was (68.5 years), and the median number of implemented ERAS items was 12 ERAS items. A meta-analysis study was done on 10 studies that described and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Length of hospital stay (LOS)</th>
<th>Complications rate</th>
<th>Mortality rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ERAS group</td>
<td>Conventional group</td>
<td>ERAS group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson et al. [6]</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gatt et al. [7]</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muller et al. [8]</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vlug et al. [9]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ren et al. [10]</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelson et al. [11]</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forsmo et al. [12]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tejedor et al. [13]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeng et al. [14]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostermann et al. [15]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Summary of outcome measures in all studies.**

**Figure 1:** Forest plot demonstrating LOS.

**Figure 2:** Forest plot demonstrating complications rate.

**Figure 3:** Forest plot demonstrating mortality rate.
Yeung et al. reported that the LOS for the ERAS group was 0.30 days as compared with traditional treatment or anesthesia. A carbohydrate load led to a shortened hospital duration of stay and outcomes in patients undergoing colorectal surgical procedure. This text provides the specific components of an ERAS protocol implemented on the authors' institution. Zhu, Agarwala, and Bao reported that modern perioperative care in colorectal surgical treatment is guided with the aid of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) pathways, initially developed in Europe in the 1990s to reduce variability and improve outcomes, those units of recommendations aim to offer preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative interventions to decrease complications and enhance patient recovery. Cavallaro and Bordeianou reported that we were pleased to find that the audit showed a 1-day reduction in inpatient length of stay and a 60% reduction in postoperative complications, including surgical infections. Yeung et al. reported that the ERAS group had shorter LOS (P=0.049) and fewer total infectious complications (P=0.01). Nausea was a predictor of protein intake. Nutrition variables were independent predictors of earlier discharge after potential confounders were controlled for. The ERAS group had significantly fewer total complications (39% compared with 61%; P=0.04) and significantly fewer minor complications (32% compared with 57%; P=0.01). Total infectious complications were also fewer in the ERAS group (14% compared with 35%; P=0.01), including wound infections (1% compared with 11%; P=0.04). Nelson et al. reported that adjusted risk ratio (RR) was 1.71, for 30-day readmission, comparing pre- to publish-ERAS patients. the proportion of patients who developed at least one complication was considerably reduced, from pre- to post-ERAS, the difference in proportions=11.7%, p-value: 0.0139. We found 8 studies reported mortality rates with a total number of patients (N=1492). Using the fixed-effects model, the meta-analysis process revealed a highly significant decrease in mortality rate in ERAS group compared to Conventional group (p=0.005), which came in agreement with Shida et al., Wahl et al., and Pędziwiatr et al.
Shida et al. reported that median postoperative hospital stay was ten days in the traditional group, and seven days in the ERAS group, showing a 3-day reduction in hospital stay (p<0.01). According to the Clavien-Dindo classification, overall incidences of grade 2 or higher postoperative complications for the traditional and ERAS groups were 15 and 10% (p=0.48), and 30-day readmission rates were 0 and 1.3% (p=1.00), respectively. As for mortality, one patient in the traditional group died and none within the ERAS group (p=0.34). [3]

Wahl et al. reported that enhanced recovery after surgical operation (ERAS) pathways use standardized, multimodal perioperative techniques to reduce physiologic pressure prompted via the surgical procedure and to promote early recovery. ERAS protocols span the continuum of surgical care and consist of processes such as patient education, multimodal analgesia, and early mobility. Implementation of ERAS involves the collaboration of a multidisciplinary crew supporting the systematic coordination of procedures. Research has continuously shown that ERAS reduces LOS and may also reduce postoperative complications after surgical operation and mortality rates. [23]

Pędziwiatr et al. reported that the authors showed that the number of employed ERAS items ranged from 11 to 18 of the 20 recommended by the ERAS Society for elective procedures; patients treated within the guidelines had fewer postoperative complications, shorter hospital stays, with equal or lower mortality rates in certain studies. [16]

Our result came in disagreement with Forsmo et al. [22] and Thanh et al. [24] Forsmo et al. reported that the study revealed significantly shorter hospital stay for patients treated with ERAS care and stoma education than patients receiving standard care for stoma after colorectal surgery. The two treatment groups did not differ concerning mortality. [22]

Thanh et al. reported that, in total, 1295 post-ERAS patients (cases) and 331 pre-ERAS patients (controls) who had colorectal surgery between 2013 and 2015 in 6 hospitals/sites across Alberta were included in our analyses. There was no significant difference in mortality between the 2 groups during the study period (0.23% of cases v. 0.30% of controls, p=0.82). [24]

**Conclusion**

To conclude, ERAS program is an evidence-based multimodal perioperative protocol focused on stress reduction and the promotion of a rapid postoperative recovery. ERAS helps lowering both recovery time and postoperative complication rates while being cost-effective at the same time.
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