Introduction

Serum 25(OH) D level is, so far, the most reliable index of vitamin D status in normal and CKD patients. Previous studies have disclosed that vitamin D deficiency as an independent risk factor for CKD progression. Vitamin D deficiency is also incriminated in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease (CVD). A meta-analysis of prospective studies has addressed the possible linkage of vitamin D deficiency and the development of CVD.

CKD is associated with elevated SUA. High SUA offers higher risk of CKD progression. In addition, hyperuricemia is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease and for higher cardiovascular mortality. The possibility of a direct relationship between hyperuricemia and vitamin D metabolism was first raised 25-years ago. By that time, uric acid was incriminated to affect serum 1,25 (OH) vitamin D level. The association between SUA and 25 (OH) vit D was first reported four years ago among elderly healthy Chinese women. A recent study of diabetic CKD patients reported the significant association of low level of vitamin D with low levels of SUA. In the present study, we tried to find out the association between the very prevalent low serum level of 25(OH) vit D and SUA among pre-dialysis CKD patients with and without DM looking if there are any differences between these subgroups.

Patients and Methods

This study included 1724 (820 male and 904 female) stage 3a-5 CKD patients; 527 have DM (234 male and 293 female) (Group 1) and 1197 (586 male and 611 female) were not diabetic (Group 2). Patients that were kept on hypouricemic agents (including sodium glucose transporter-2 inhibitors in diabetic patients), native vitamin D or cinacalcet were excluded. A written consent was obtained from every patient and was followed by clinical examination and a blood sample was obtained after 6 hours fasting. Body mass index was calculated for every patient.
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Blood samples were used for estimation of blood urea nitrogen (BUN), eGFR, serum level of Ca, P, PTH, 25(OH)D, and SUA. eGFR was measured using MDRD equation. Intact PTH level was determined by enzyme-amplified sensitivity immun assay (Roche Diagnostics, IN, USA). Serum 25 (OH) vit D was assessed Using HPLC. FBS and FI levels were estimated in patients of group 2 and were used to calculate Homa-IR. A morning urine sample was collected to estimate urine albumin/creatinine ratio.

Data analysis was done using microsoft computer statistics package. Different parameters were summarized as mean ± standard deviation. Comparison between the 2 subgroups was evaluated using Student’s t-test. Correlation coefficient between different parameters was performed. Multivariate linear regression analysis was used to determine the best predictors of serum uric acid within the 2 subgroups.

Results

Results are summarized in Tables 1 to 4 and Figures 1 and 2. Most of the patients in the 2 groups were in stage 4 with about 17% in stage 3 and around 5% in stage 5 [Table 1]. Apart from slight difference in eGFR, there was no significant difference in any of the measured parameters between the 2 groups [Table 2]. SUA had significant negative correlation with serum 25 (OH) vit D in either of the 2 groups [Figures 1 and 2]. In addition, SUA showed significant positive correlation with age, serum PTH and UAE and negative correlation with Ca [Table 3]. By multivariate linear regression and relative weights analyses, the best determinant of SUA is the serum 25 (OH) vit D in both groups [Table 4].

Homa IR showed significant positive association with P (r=0.29, p<0.001) and negative association with Ca (r=-0.16, P<0.001) but failed to have any significant association with SUA, 25 (OH) vit D, or PTH [Table 5].
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>95% CI of β</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>0.000 - 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum calcium (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.503</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.470 - 0.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum phosphorus (mg/dL)</td>
<td>-0.184</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>-0.236 - -0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum parathyroid hormone (pg/ml)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.008 - 0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum 25 hydroxy vitamin D (ng/ml)</td>
<td>-1.654</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>-1.858 - -1.451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine albumin/creatinine (mg/gm)</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.038 - 0.043</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B=Beta Coefficient (Refer To: How many standard deviations a dependent variable will change, per standard deviation increase in the predictor variable.) CI=Confidence Interval

Table 5: Univariate determinants of Homa-IR in group 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>Homa-IR</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>e-GFR (ml/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum calcium (mg/dL)</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum phosphorus (mg/dL)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum parathyroid hormone (pg/ml)</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum 25 hydroxy vitamin D (ng/ml)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine albumin/creatinine (mg/gm)</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. uric acid (mg/dL)</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

Thanks to the frequent reports of significant associations of DM among CKD patients and either vitamin D status or SUA, we planned to categorize the patients into diabetic and non-diabetic groups. However, our study failed to find any difference of statistical significance in either of these 2 parameters between the 2 groups. In addition, the association between vit D deficiency or insufficiency and increased insulin resistance (IR) among CKD patients was suggested in many recent articles. [15-18] Similar association was encountered between SUA and IR in these patients. [19] We limited our study of IR to the non-diabetic CKD patients. Many of the oral hypoglycemic agents and insulin could independently affect IR. [20-22] and thus would corrupt any possible association between different studied parameters and IR. The only parameters that showed significant association with IR were Ca and P. Both 25(OH) vit D and SUA did not have significant association with IR. Most patients with type 2 DM have low 25(OH)D levels. [23] In addition, 25(OH) D levels were negatively and independently associated with HbA1c levels among stage 1-5 CKD patients. [24]

However, the only clinical trial that looked for impact of serum 25(OH) vit D on IR failed to demonstrate a significant association. [25] In addition, genetic epidemiological studies have failed to prove an association between UA and type 2 DM. [26,27] These results support our present findings. In addition to the present study, the significant association between SUA and PTH or P was previously reported in CKD children. [28] The underlying mechanism of this significant association is still unclear and demands prospective studies.

Being the substrate of 1,25 (OH)2 vitamin D, the significant negative association of 25 (OH) vit D and PTH is likely due to the negative feedback loop between PTH and 1,25(OH)2 vitamin D. On the other hand, the significant negative association between 25 (OH) vit D and SUA is more difficult to explain. The liver is the major if not the sole source of 25 (OH) vit D productions from vitamin D. [29] UA is an important factor underlying non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) in patients with or without CKD. [30,31] Many recent studies have reported the significant association of NAFLD and low 25 (OH) vit D. [15-19] Many recent studies have reported the significant association of NAFLD and low 25 (OH) vit D.

Conclusion

Taken together, these studies raise strongly the possibility of inhibition of hepatic 25 hydroxylation of vitamin D by the high SUA in patients with or without CKD. Another possibility might be through the recently discovered down regulation of the urate exporter, ATP-binding cassette transporter G2 (ABCG2), by PTH. [34] ABCG2 is responsible for intestinal excretion of UA. [35] PTH induced down regulation of ABCG2 might thus cause retention of UA. In other words, low 25 (OH) vit D stimulates PTH secretion that in turn causes UA retention. In the current study, the relative weight of 25 (OH) vit D in association with PTH versus UA criticizes this possibility. Finally, prospective studies are still needed to evaluate the role of UA in the pathophysiology of the mineral disorders, including the observed low 25 (OH) vit D among CKD patients.
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