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Abstract

**Background:** The dilemma in managing patients with low back ache lies in differentiating radiculopathy from lumbar canal stenosis. This has a huge bearing in patients being planned for surgical intervention as underperforming leads to failed back syndrome whereas over-doing leads to instability. There still remains a loophole in clinically diagnosing lumbar canal stenosis. **Aim:** We opt to utilize a simple bedside clinical examination in routinely assessing patients presenting with low back ache in ruling out underlying canal stenosis. **Subjects and Methods:** We performed a prospective study on 120 consecutive patients presenting with low back ache in the spine clinic. Each of them was neurologically examined and thoroughly assessed for wasting of extensor digitorum brevis (EDB) muscles. These were then correlated with the radio-imaging and the intraoperative findings. **Results:** Lumbar canal stenosis was mostly observed in the age group of 50–60 years. Diagnosis for L3/4 canal stenosis was made in 44/120 (36.6%), L5-S1 in 52/120 (43.3%), and L3/L4/L5 level in 48/120 (40%) of patients. EDB wasting was seen unilaterally in 72/120 (60%) and bilaterally in 36/120 (30%) of the study group. **Conclusion:** This study appraises the clinical implication of observing for the wasting of EDB muscle so as to aid in the diagnosis of lumbar canal stenosis. This simple bedside clinical pearl can help us in predicting the need of further imaging studies and also in taking right therapeutic decision.

**Keywords:** Extensor digitorum brevis, Lumbar canal, Stenosis

Introduction

Degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis describes a condition in which there is diminished space available for the neural and vascular elements in the lumbar spine. Mostly, it is secondary to degenerative changes in the spinal canal. Patients mostly present with a history of gluteal or lower extremity symptoms exacerbated by walking or standing but characteristically improve or resolve with sitting or bending forward. Patients whose pain is not made worse with walking have a low likelihood of stenosis.[1] Till date, radio-images have been the gold standard in ruling out canal stenosis. However, expensive radiological armamentarium may not be an answer in screening every patient presenting with a low back ache for ruling out canal stenosis. There still is the missing link in the clinical diagnosis of this global health issue.

The extensor digitorum brevis (EDB) muscle arises from the distal part of the superolateral surface of the calcaneus [Figure 1].
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It runs distally across the dorsum of the foot and finally divides into four slips.[3] Medial slip inserts onto the base of the proximal phalanx of the great toe. The other slips attach to the lateral sides of the tendons of the extensor digitorum longus for the second, third, and fourth toe.

Wasting of EDB has been taken as a marker for L5/S1 radiculopathy.[3,4] Herein, we highlight the clinical importance of observing for evidence of EDB wasting as a marker for underlying lumbar canal stenosis. This simple bedside clinical observation can help us make correct surgical strategy and thereby prevent failed back syndrome by carrying out decompressive laminectomy rather than just tackling the disc in such groups.

**Subjects and Methods**

We included 120 consecutive patients presenting to the spine clinic in the Department of Neurosurgery, College of Medical Sciences, with a complaint of low back ache from January 2012 to January 2013. They were clinically-radiologically assessed for features of radiculopathy and canal stenosis. Only the trained residents in the spine team assessed for the presence of EDB wasting in all the patients [Figure 2] and were counterchecked by the consultants so as to decrease the inter-rater variability. We compared the bulk of the muscle of the patients with their healthy counterparts of the same sex and age group so as to minimize the confounding bias due to age-related and chronic illnesses related atrophy in the muscle. To minimize the intra-rater variability, whenever in doubt, we advocated the use of ultrasound scan to assess and compare the bulk of the muscle. Whenever possible, we recommend electromyography study along with motor conduction velocity so as to confirm the same.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study guideline included getting a thin (4–5 mm) MRI sections with a combination of T1 and T2 pulse sequences in both axial and sagittal planes with additional angled and stacked axial sections. Anteroposterior diameter (<10 mm) [Figure 3] and cross-sectional area (<70 mm) of spinal canal and MRI finding of positive sedimentation sign were taken into account for diagnosing canal stenosis.

We also studied dynamic X-ray spine to see for any instability. In the presence of instability based on Posner’s criteria, patients were offered decompression with fusion if the stenosis was moderate to severe. We also stressed on the need of standing full-length lateral radiographs of the spine to check for sagittal balance of the patients which has a bearing of increasing instability after performing procedures such as laminectomy.

Most of the patients were mobilized early from the next morning of the day of surgery. Postoperative X-ray lumbosacral spine was taken for groups undergoing hemilaminectomy and decompressive laminectomy so as to rule out spinal instability. Most of the patients were discharged within 72 h of surgery. Improvement in the muscle groups and the improvement in the wasting of the muscles were routinely assessed for 1 year in the outpatient department.

This study was approved by the Educational Ethical Board of College of Medical Sciences. Both oral and written consent were taken from all the patients included in the study.

**Results**

**Clinical profile**

On average, the participating patients were 56-year-old (standard deviation [SD] 14.0; age range: 20–88 years). Mean age for lumbar canal stenosis was 56.30 (13.95) (mean: Years [SD]). Male to female ratio was 1.5:1. Mean age for intervertebral disc prolapse (IVDP) in our study group was 27 years (age range from 19 to 46 years). In this study, group ratio of canal stenosis and disc prolapsed was 60:40 among males and 40:60 among females, respectively.
Clinical findings

EDB wasting was observed unilaterally in 72/120 (60%) and bilaterally in 36/120 (30%). Calf muscle wasting was seen unilaterally in 36/120 (30%) bilaterally in 18/120 (15%). Likewise, weakness of extensor hallucis longus (EHL) was seen in 76/120 (63.3%), dorsalis flexors (DF) in 100/120 (83.3%), plantar flexors (PF) in 44/120 (36.6%), and knee flexors (KF) in 66/120 (55%), respectively.

Radiological investigations

L4–L5/L5-S1 IVDP was observed in 40/120 (33.3%), L4–L5/L5-S1 IVDP and canal stenosis was seen in 44/120 (36.6%) and canal stenosis alone was observed in 36/120 (30%) of patients.

Preoperative canal stenosis

Diagnosis for L3/L4 canal stenosis was made in 44/120 (36.6%), L5/S1 in 52/120 (43.3%), and L3/L4/L5 level in 48/120 (40%) of patients.

Per operative intervertebral disc prolapse

Intraoperatively, axillary variant of disc was seen in 16/120 (13.3%) and shoulder variant in 8/120 (6.6%) of patients. Pure disc entity was observed in 12/120 (10%) whereas the combination of disc and canal stenosis was observed in 12/120 (10%).

Morbidity among patients

The incidental durotomy in our study was seen in 8/120 (6.6%) of cases. Likewise, postoperative cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak was seen in 2/120 (1.6%), discitis in 2/120 (1.6%), and pseudomeningocele occurred in 2/120 (1.6%) of patients.

Follow-up of patients

During follow-up of our patients, no neurological deficits were seen in 114/120 (95%) of patients. Weakness of DF/EHL weakness persisted among 6/120 (5%) of patients. At 2 years of follow-up, unilateral EDB wasting was seen in only 38/120 (31.6%) of patients compared to 72/120 (60%) preoperatively. Bilateral EDB wasting persisted in 22/120 (18.3%) of patients compared to 36/120 (30%) preoperatively.

Discussion

With the increasing longevity a continually climbing proportion of middle-aged and elderly persons, low back ache is surely going to be a ubiquitous and disabling disease of humankind.[5] Lumbar canal stenosis has a significant negative impact to the quality of life in such subset of population.[6]

Most of these patients present with features of intermittent neurological claudication.[7] The main dilemma in managing such patients lies in differentiating true disc disease from associated canal stenosis secondary to degenerative changes.

The diagnosis of the spinal stenosis is aided by the radiological studies.[8,9] Computerized tomogram of lumbar spine shows characteristics trefoil appearance of the canal. In the MRI of the spine, there is loss of CSF surrounding the canal. Guideline suggests getting a thin (4–5 mm) MRI sections with a combination of T1, proton density, and T2 pulse sequences in both axial and sagittal planes with additional angled and stacked axial sections.[1] Meta-analysis has shown the sensitivity of MRI in the diagnosis of adult spinal stenosis to be 81%–97%, of computed tomography 70%–100% and myelography 67%–78%.[10] Besides the anteroposterior diameter (<10 mm) and cross-sectional area (<70 mm) of spinal canal, MRI finding of positive sedimentation sign is a good positive sign to rule in lumbar spinal stenosis with high specificity and sensitivity.[11,12] Lumbar canal stenosis was mostly observed in the age group of 50–60 years. Diagnosis for L3–L4 canal stenosis was made in 44/120 (36.6%), L5/S1 in 52/120 (43.3%), and L3/L4/L5 level in 48/120 (40%) of patients in our study group.

However, in the developing countries like ours, the financial aspect of the patients and the limitations of resources in many hospitals may play a pivotal role in limiting ourselves to clinical diagnosis.

The management aspects of lumbar disc disease range from conservative, epidural steroids injection, minimally invasive approaches to decompressive laminectomies.[13–17] However, failure to correctly diagnose and then treat the canal stenosis may invariably lead to failed back syndrome in the patients.[18]

Preservation of the posterior elements is the most important factor in the success of decompression surgery for lumbar canal stenosis, but the occurrence of postoperative instability and restenosis has been a shortcoming of laminectomy.[19,20] Despite affording a wide decompression, laminectomy can result in segmental instability and paravertebral muscle atrophy.[21] Fenestration has been developed to solve this problem of laminectomy, but there is limited access and insufficient...
decompression in the lateral recesses and added risk for neural injury in a small working space.[22,23]

There is also need of dynamic X-ray study to see for any instability. In the presence of instability based on Posner’s criteria, the patient should be offered decompression with fusion if the stenosis is moderate to severe.[1] In particular, three measures are of vital importance (1) global sagittal balance (C7 plumb line [C7PL], C7/sacro-femoral distance ratio and spino-sacral angle), (2) spinopelvic morpholgy (pelvic incidence, sacral slope, and pelvic tilt), and (3) spinal parameters (lumbar lordosis and thoracic kyphosis). Jeon et al. have found posterior migration of the C7PL and increase lumbar lordosis following decompressive laminectomy, in their evaluation of 40 patients over 2 years.[24]

In the one hand, under-doing can lead to failed back syndrome, and on the other hand, over-doing leads to instability. Therefore, simple assessment of the bulk of the EDB muscle on both sides can predict the underlying canal stenosis and thereafter help make correct therapeutic decisions. EDB wasting was seen unilaterally in 72/120 (60%) and bilaterally in 36/120 (30%) of the study group.

EDB being a muscle with the smallest bulk in foot is clinically very sensitive for L5 radiculopathy. There are earlier reports in cases of spina bifida or tethered cord syndrome where late manifestation has led to EDB weakness.[25]

However, North American Spine Society in their recommendation have found insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against certain physical findings for the diagnosis of degenerative lumbar spinal stenosis including an abnormal Romberg test, thigh pain exacerbated with extension, sensorimotor deficits, leg cramps, and abnormal Achilles tendon reflexes.[11]

There is a pivotal need of comprehensive clinical evaluation of spine and neurological function before embarking on surgical management of low back ache or radiculopathy. This is becoming ever vital as the incidence of failed back syndrome is on the rise, a major causative factor being an incomplete clinical evaluation of the patient.[18]

The positive aspects of our study are the observation for the EDB wasting by the members of the spine team only so as to reduce the interobserver bias in the study. We had also blinded the clinical examiner of the radiological findings and conducted a prospective study so as to limit the post hoc effect.

The limitation of the study is the learning curve in assessing the wasting of the EDB muscle. This can be limited by the adjunct use of ultrasound in assessing the bulk of the muscle and comparing to the healthy volunteer of the same age group. We can also utilize nerve conduction tests on the muscles. Furthermore, multicentric randomized control trials with larger inclusion of study group will surely help us reach further conclusions on this verdict.

**Conclusion**

Focal canal stenosis revealed isolated marked wasting of EDB in addition to EHL/DF/PF/KF weakness. Pure disc prolapsed on the contrary revealed EHL/DF/PF/KF weakness without wasting. EDB wasting is a decisive clinical indicator of significant canal stenosis as opposed to pure disc prolapsed. In the era of micro- and endoscopic procedures, this assumes importance for planning the type of procedure and a word of caution for the novices in the vast realms of lumbar spine procedures.
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